# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,199	06/21/2001	Ivo Raaijmakers	ASMMC.005AUS	ASMMC.005AUS 7254	
7590 03/04/2004			EXAMINER		
	ens Olson & Bear LLP	MAI, ANH D			
Sixteenth Floor 620 Newport Co		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Newport Beach		2814			

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u>i</u>			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/887,199		RAAIJMAKERS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Anh D. Mai		2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 h	November 200	03 and 08 December	<u>2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	and the second s							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1 and 6-19 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) e drawing(s) be ction is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d). FO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) smation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 ser No(s)/Mail Date	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Status of the Claims

1. Amendments filed November 5, 2003 and December 8, 2003 has been entered. Claims 1, 13 and 14 have been amended. Claims 1-19 are pending. Claims 2-5 have been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1 and 6-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gates et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,203,613) of record and M. Ritala et al., Perfectly Conformal TiN and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Films Deposited by Atomic Layer Deposition, of record (IDS, Paper No. 4).

Gates teaches a method of fabricating trench isolation structures between integrated electrical devices in a semiconductor substrate substantially as claimed including:

placing a semiconductor substrate in a reaction chamber, the semiconductor substrate comprising trenches; and

filling the trenches with insulating material (metal-containing film) by atomic layer deposition (ALD), the ALD process comprising a plurality of primary cycle, each primary cycle comprising, in sequence:

introducing a first vapor-phase reactant (1<sup>st</sup> metal precursor) to the substrate, thereby forming no more than about one monolayer of a first reactant species conforming at least to surface of the trenches;

removing (inert purge) excess first vapor-phase reactant and byproduct from the reaction chamber:

introducing a second vapor-phase reactant (co-reactant) to the substrate, thereby reacting with the first reactant species conforming at least to the surfaces of the trenches; and

removing (inert purge) excess second vapor-phase reactant and by product from the reaction chamber. (See particularly col. 6, lines 22-33).

Thus, Gates is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of explicitly disclosing that the trench is completely filled.

However, Ritala teaches that trenches formed in a semiconductor substrate can be completely filled with insulating material (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) using ALD process to form a trench isolation structure. (See Figs. 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to completely fill the trenches formed in the semiconductor substrate of Gates as taught by Ritala to form a trench isolation structure because uniformity and conformality are inherent result of ALD, thus, keyhole formation is avoided. (See Ritala, page 7).

With respect to the term "thereby forming no more than about one monolayer of a first reactant species conforming at least to surface of the trenches", the ALD results in one layer per cycle, thus, the term is met.

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to the term "thereby reacting with the first reactant species conforming at least to the surfaces of the trenches", since the second vapor-phase reactant of Gate is coreactant, the term, thereby reacting, is met.

With respect to claim 6, the ALD of Gate further including a plurality of secondary cycles, each secondary cycle comprising, in sequence:

introducing a third vapor-phase reactant (2<sup>nd</sup> precursor) to the substrate, thereby forming no more than about one monolayer of a third reactant species conforming at least to surfaces of the trenches, the third reactant species being different from tile first reactant species;

removing (inert purge) excess third vapor-phase reactant and byproduct from the reaction chamber,

introducing a fourth vapor-phase reactant (co-reactant) to the substrate, thereby reacting the third reactant species conforming at least to the surface of the trenches; and

removing (inert purge) excess fourth vapor-phase reactant and byproduct from the reaction chamber. (See col. 6, lines 25-33).

With respect to claim 7, although Gates does not disclose the specific reactants as claimed, however, Gates clearly discloses that, metal-containing precursor having the formula  $M(NO_3)x$ , wherein M is a metal selected from the group including Si and Al and co-reactant gas includes oxidizing agent (col. 4, lines 40-51).

With respect to claim 8, the metal source gas of Gates also includes metal-alkyl compounds and the oxidant source gas includes water, thus, the limitation of the claim is met.

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 9, the filling of the trench of Gates in view of Ritala consists of mixing the primary cycle and secondary cycles and the mixing ratio (alternate 1:1) is within the claimed ratio between about 20:1 and 1:10.

With respect to claim 10, the primary cycles of Gates deposit a first oxide species and the secondary cycle deposit a second oxide species. (See col. 9, lines 5-14).

With respect to claim 11, the first oxide species of Gates is silicon oxide and the second oxide species is a metal oxide. (See Example 4).

With respect to claim 12, the second oxide species of Gates also includes aluminum oxide. (See col. 4, lines 40-51).

With respect to claims 13 and 14, the method of Gates includes any combination of multiplayer metal oxide film to form an insulation layer.

Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed composition (23% + 37%, claim 13 and 26% +34%, claim 14) of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to based upon particular chosen dimension or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimension are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Since ALD process can form monolayer-by-monolayer, therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form the multiplayer metal oxide of Gates, in view of Ritala, in any combination to achieve a superior isolation.

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 15, at least a portion of the first and second oxide species of Gates inherently combine to form a separate phase appears to be in equilibrium with a portion of the first oxide.

With respect to claim 16, since the multiple ALD layer also includes silicon oxide and aluminum oxide as contemplated by Gates, thus, the separate phase of Gates also comprises mullite. Mullite is a mixture described as:  $3Al_2O_3*2SiO_2$ . Another word, any five layer of metal oxide including three layer of  $Al_2O_3$  sandwiched by two layer of  $SiO_2$ .

With respect to claim 17, similar to claims 13 and 14 above, the method of Gates includes any combination of multiplayer metal oxide film.

Note that the specification contains <u>no disclosure</u> of either the *critical nature of the claimed composition* (25% mullite and 50% mullite by weight) of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to based upon particular chosen dimension or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimension are critical. *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Since ALD process can form monolayer-by-monolayer, therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form the multiplayer metal oxide of Gates, in view of Ritala, in any combination to achieve a superior isolation.

With respect to claim 18, since silica and alumina have been contemplated by Gates for the metal-oxide insulator (see col. 4, line 40-51), these metal-oxide can be alternatively deposited (e.g., at least 1:1). This ratio is within the disclosed ratio (20:1 to 1:10) to achieve a coefficient

Art Unit: 2814

of thermal expansion (CTE) within about 20% of silicon's CTE. (See specification page 19, line 23-25).

With respect to claim 19, Gates does not explicitly disclosing mixing of the primary cycle and secondary cycle to achieve a coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) within about 10% of silicon's CTE.

However, the specification contains <u>no disclosure</u> of either the *critical nature of the claimed coefficient of thermal expansion within 10% of the semiconductor substrate's CTE* of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to based upon particular chosen CTE or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimension are critical. *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to fill the trenches such that CTE of the insulating material should closely match that of the semiconductor substrate to prevent a large thermal stress on the semiconductor substrate which is a main cause of failure, such as cracking.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed November 5, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that there is no teaching or suggest in Gates that would motivate one of skill in the art to attempt to form a trench isolation structure by an ALD process.

However, in addressing the filling of trench using ALD, Gates clearly teaches: "Also, the conformality of ALD nitride films inside small vias (100-300 nm diameter) and trenches is excellent (nearly 100% step coverage)" (col. 5, ll. 16-24). This alone has already provided more than enough suggestion and motivation to fill the trench using ALD, although not specifically about STI.

Regarding the reference to Ritala, Applicants argue that while Ritala utilizes trenches to demonstrate the conformal nature of ALD, there is no teaching or suggestion in Ritala of completely filling those trenches by ALD to form trench isolation structures between integrated electrical devices in a semiconductor substrate, as claimed.

However, Ritala teaches: "In conclusion, the perfect conformality of two thin film materials, TiN and AIzO3, deposited by ALD was demonstrated. The surface-controlled, self-limiting film growth mechanism of ALD makes it possible to deposit films uniformly into deep trenches, and the trenches may also **be filled completely without keyhole formation**" (see page 8).

Regarding the criticality of the claimed combination of materials, given the silica-mullite phase diagram from W.E.Lee and W.M. Rainforth, one having ordinary skill in the art ordinary skill in the art should be able to determined the composition of the multilayer film to achieve a CTE of 20% silicon or less. Further, these are chosen dimensions, not as an unexpected result arriving form an unknown parameters. (See phase diagram).

Finally, neither criticality nor unexpected results are supported by the specification.

Art Unit: 2814

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh D. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1710. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2814

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.M February 23, 2004

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY TO LOS TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1000